

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,829	03/22/2004	Jian Bai	10980322-4	4240
22878 7590 08/20/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION,LEGAL DEPT.			EXAMINER	
			WELLS, NIKITA	
MS BLDG. E P.O. BOX 7599 LOVELAND, CO 80537		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOVELAND,	CO 80337		2881	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/806,829	BAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nikita Wells	2881			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>"Res</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	p. to Notice to Comply" rec11/07. action is non-final.	<u>'/05</u> .			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-80 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-80 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/28/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Interference

- The Applicants filed a "Response to Notice to Comply with Rule 37 C.F.R. §41.202 (a)(1)-(6)" on November 7, 2005, Pursuant to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §41.202 (a), where the Applicants suggest that the Office set up an Interference between the present application 10/806,829 and US Patent No. 6,683,300 B2, filed on September 17, 2001. The Applicant canceled claims 1-33 and added claims 34-80 in the "Preliminary Amendment" received March 22, 2004.
- 2. Upon review and consideration of the claims in view of the prior art, it is determined that an interference will not be suggested at this time since examination is not yet completed. See 37 C.F.R. §41.102.

The newly found prior art is exemplified by Wang et al. (5,869,832) and was filed on October 14, 1997 (the 102(e) rejection); and that of Franzen et al. (5,663,561) was filed on March 28, 1996 (the 103(a) rejection). The Applicant's priority date claims benefit of June 12, 1998.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2881

4. Claims 34-39, 41-52, 54-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (5,869,832).

With respect to claims 34-36, 41, 43-47, 49, 51, 56-59, 64-67, 69-70, 73, and 77, Wang et al. disclose (col. 1, lines 54-65; col.2, lines 29-56; and col.2, line 64 to col.3, line 12) a method, system, and apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis of an analyte solution, comprising: irradiating a liquid volume of said analyte solution, without additional matrix added to said analyte solution, matrix with a light beam to desorb solution-specific ions into a surrounding gas to produce gas-phase ions; transferring said gas-phase ions to a mass analyzer; and mass-analyzing said gas-phase ions by said mass analyzer.

With respect to claims 37-38, 68, and 79, Wang et al. disclose (col. 2, lines 42-49; and col. 4, lines 1-5) a method and apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis, wherein the step of irradiating comprises producing said gas-phase ions at or about atmospheric pressures.

With respect to claims 48 and 76, Wang et al. disclose (col. 1, lines 54-65; and col.2, lines 16-28) a method for mass spectroscopic analysis, wherein said step of mass-analyzing comprises: analyzing liquid solutions of organic and inorganic compounds including peptides, proteins, nucleic acids, polymers and other compounds of biological significance.

With respect to claims 39, 50, 52, 54, 60-63, and 71, Wang et al. disclose (col. 6, line 51 to col. 7, line 2) a method and apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis, providing means for depositing the analyte solution on a surface, wherein said surface may comprise a metal surface and a membrane.

Art Unit: 2881

With respect to claim 42, 55, and 72, Wang et al. disclose (col. 6, lines 51-54; and col. 9, line 66 to col. 10, line 4) a method and an apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis, wherein the analyte solution is used in an electrophoretic process.

With respect to claim 74-75, Wang et al. disclose (col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 11) an apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis, wherein the mass analyzer comprises: at least one of an inlet orifice attached to an inlet port of a mass spectrometer and a capillary tube attached to said inlet port.

With respect to claim 78, Wang et al. disclose (col. 9, line 66 to col. 10, line 4) a method and apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis, further comprising a high-performance liquid chromatograph or a CE.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 40, 53, and 80, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (5,869,832) in view of Franzen et al. (5,663,561).

With respect to claims 40, 53, and 80, Wang et al. disclose (as shown above in paragraph #4) a method, system, and apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis of an analyte solution, but fail to specifically disclose the step of depositing the analyte solution when the analyte solution is matrix-free.

Art Unit: 2881

However, Franzen et al. disclose (Abstract; col. 1, lines 51-56; col. 2, lines 32-38 and lines 45-64) a method and apparatus for the ionization of heavy molecules at atmospheric pressure specifying that the matrix material decomposes under laser photons (in MALDI operation) into small gas molecules which can blast the analyte molecules into the surrounding gas (col.2, lines 45-64). Franzen states that the matrix material has to be selected such that the transfer of heat to the analyte molecules is minimal. He further mentions (col. 3, lines 31-44) that "...at atmospheric pressure the released molecules of the decomposed matrix material are not needed to ionize the macromolecules. The selection of matrix molecules is solely dependent upon their ability to release the large molecules,". Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a matrix-free analyte solution if one was to release smaller size molecules using a matrix-free material.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize and substitute the method and apparatus of the ionization of heavy molecules of Franzen et al. into the a method and apparatus for mass spectroscopic analysis of an analyte solution of Wang et al. in order to optimize the ionization of large molecules at atmospheric pressure for efficient delivery to a mass spectrometer for mass analysis.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikita Wells whose telephone number is (571) 272-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be

Art Unit: 2881

reached on (571) 272-2293. The central fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nikita Wells, Primary Examiner,

Notieta Bells

Page 6

Art Unit 2881

July 18, 2007

JANICE A. FALCONE DIRECTOR

mul Falcone

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800